## SUBJECT: Reconciliation Instructions For 1999 Rates-Community-Rated Carriers

Federal Employees Health Benefits Program (FEHBP) carriers must use the enclosed documents to reconcile their 1999 Federal rates.

The document entitled "OPM Reconciliation Guidelines - 1999" gives pertinent definitions and an overall view of our 1999 reconciliation policy.

```
A small carrier (i.e., a carrier with less than 1500 FEHBP
contracts at the time of the 1999 rate proposal that chose not to
file as a large carrier) whose 1998 income from the Federal group
was $500,000 or more must complete Attachments III, IIIA, IIIB and
V and keep them on file and available for OPM review. Such
carriers should not submit reconciliation attachments to OPM.
Small carriers whose 1998 income from the Federal group was less than \(\$ 500,000\) need take no action, and the following instructions do not apply to such carriers.
A large carrier, or a small carrier that filed as large (i.e., a carrier that submitted detailed documentation with its 1999 rate proposal) must complete Attachments III through VI and return them by April 30, 1999 to:
```

> Ms. Nancy H. Kichak
> Director, Office of Actuaries
> Office of Personnel Management
> 1900 E Street, NW., Room 4307
> Washington, DC 20415

The Reconciliation Questionnaire (Attachment IIIB) consists of five sections. All carriers must complete Sections 1 and 2. Carriers using Traditional Community Rating must complete Section 3; carriers using Community Rating by Class must complete Section 4; and carriers using Adjusted Community Rating must complete Section 5.

Please direct your questions about the reconciliation to Phil Haverstick, Sherry Simon, or Jim Quayle at (202) 606-0722.

Sincerely,
(signed)
Frank D. Titus
Assistant Director for Insurance Programs

Enclosure
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OPM Reconciliation Guidelines - 1999
This document discusses OPM's general policy for the 1999 reconciliation.

## Attachment III

This is the Reconciliation sheet. OPM uses it to determine the difference between the carrier's estimated 1999 Federal group rates proposed in May 1998 (Line 6) and the actual 1999 Federal group rates (Line 5). These actual rates should be equivalent to the lowest rates the carrier charged a Similarly Sized Subscriber Group (SSSG).

## Instructions for Attachment III

This document gives line-by-line instructions for completing Attachment III.

## Attachment IIIA

This is the Reconciliation Backup Sheet. Use this sheet to record backup calculations for the rates and loadings on Attachment III. Use additional sheets if necessary.

## Attachment IIIB

This is the Reconciliation Questionnaire, which you should complete with great care and accuracy. We designed it to enable us to review a carrier's reconciliation quickly and efficiently. If you re-type this questionnaire, please place the questions and answers on only one side of each sheet.

The Reconciliation Questionnaire is divided into five sections:

Section 1 - General Questions
This section asks general questions. All carriers must complete this section.

Section 2 - SSSG Questions
This section concerns carrier's Similarly Sized Subscriber Groups (SSSGs). All carriers must complete this section.

Section 3 - TCR Questions
This section asks questions about Traditional Community Rating (TCR). Only carriers using TCR to rate the Federal group must complete this section.

Section 4 - CRC Questions
This section asks questions about Community Rating by Class (CRC). Only carriers using CRC to rate the Federal group must complete this section.

Section 5 - ACR Questions
This section asks questions about Adjusted Community Rating (ACR). Only carriers using ACR to rate the Federal group must
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complete this section.

## Attachment IV

This is an instruction sheet for submitting documentation of the community rates for groups renewing on January 1, 1999. All large carriers must submit this documentation. We prefer a State insurance department filing. Carriers that do not file rates must submit other documentation to show that the Federal group pays rates based on the carrier's community rates (and/or rates which are equivalent to the rates for the SSSGs). Please CIRCLE IN RED all numbers in the documentation that you use in the reconciliation.

Attachment V
This is the Certificate of Accurate Pricing For Community-Rated Carriers. This certifies that your reconciliation information is accurate and that OPM can rely on it to determine what the Federal group's 1999 rates should be. A contracting official of your carrier must complete and sign this document.

## Attachment VI

This requests the names, telephone numbers, e-mail addresses, and fax numbers of two persons we can contact if we have questions about your reconciliation.
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Note: Please pay particular attention to statements below that are in bold-faced type. Such statements usually contain significant information.

Note: Beginning with the 1997 reconciliation instructions, we began using the word "carrier" (instead of the previously used "plan") to mean the entity contracting with OPM. This conforms more closely with the definitions in the FEHBP law, which defines "carrier" as the organization that offers a policy or contract (benefits package) and a health benefits "plan" as the policy/contract.

## Policy On Rate Reconciliation Audits (RRAs)

Each year the Office of Inspector General (OIG) audits the rate reconciliations of a limited number of carriers. The Office of Actuaries (OA) uses these audit results in setting the final rates. The OIG will conduct no subsequent audits of that year's rates.

Once the rates are finalized, however, OPM will permit no changes to the rates, and will accept no new or additional information from the carrier intended to change the audit results or final rates. The OIG's auditor will inform the carrier of the audit results before the rates are finalized, and the OA will discuss the results with the carrier. Therefore, it is the carrier's responsibility to inform the $O A$ of any disagreement it has with the audit results and/or final rates before the OA finalizes the rates.

The only condition under which rates finalized in conjunction with an RRA will be changed is when these rates are affected by a subsequent audit performed on previous contract years.

## Policy On Error Reporting

If a carrier discovers that a previous rate proposal and/or reconciliation submitted to OPM is incorrect (e.g., through the discovery of an error or omission), the carrier must

1) Notify OPM
2) Prepare and submit to OPM an amended proposal and/or reconciliation (including a newly executed Certificate Of Accurate Pricing).

Note: The above policy does not apply to proposals and/or reconciliations that have already been audited by OPM's audit staff and resolved by OPM's Office of Insurance Programs (OIP). That is, after an audit resolution by OIP, the results are final.
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## Similarly-Sized Subscriber Groups (SSSGs)

The reconciliation requests information on your carrier's Similarly Sized Subscriber Groups (SSSGs). At the time of your 1999 proposal, our regulation, 48 CFR 1602.170-13, defined SSSGs as follows:
(a) Similarly sized subscriber groups (SSSGs) are a comprehensive medical plan's two employer groups that:
(1) As of the date specified by OPM in the rate instructions, have a subscriber enrollment closest to the FEHBP subscriber enrollment; and
(2) Use any rating method other than retrospective experience rating; and
(3) Meet the criteria specified in the rate instructions issued by OPM.

Note: "subscriber enrollment" refers to contract enrollment. This could be the total self and family contract enrollment, or the total self, couples, and family contract enrollment, or some other sum, depending of the rate structure of the group.
(b) Any group with which an FEHB carrier enters into an agreement to provide health care services is a potential SSSG (including separate lines of business, government entities, groups that have multi-year contracts, and groups having point of service products).

The regulation points out in paragraph (c) that there are certain exceptions to the rule in paragraph (b). That is, the following groups must be excluded from consideration:
(1) Groups the carrier rates by the method of retrospective experience rating
(2) Groups consisting of the carriers own employees.
(3) Medicaid groups, Medicare groups, and groups that have only a stand-alone benefit (such as dental only).
(4) A purchasing alliance whose rate-setting is mandated by the State or local government.
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Finally, the regulation states the following pertaining to how OPM will determine the Federal group's rate in relation to the SSSG rates:
(d) OPM shall determine the $F E H B P$ rate by selecting the lower of the two rates derived by using the two rating methods consistent with those used to derive the SSSG rates.

For the 1999 rate year, the specific guidelines for $\operatorname{SSSG}$ are as follows:
(1) All group enrollments (the Federal group and the SSSG enrollments) should be the latest 1999 enrollment available to the carrier (but no later than March 31, 1999).
(2) The contract renewal date for 1999 SSSGs should be between July 2, 1998 and July 1, 1999. Note: You should interpret "renewal date" to mean the date on which a rate change (if any) is effective for the SSSG.

Note: We stated these guidelines in the 1999 rate instructions.
Note: If an SSSG's rate is extended beyond twelve months (i.e. the carrier allows an SSSG to change its renewal date), a premium adjustment must be made for the SSSG in the following year, or the OPM audit staff may interpret the rate extension as a discount.

Note: Recently, some carriers have asked if new groups are eligible to be SSSGs. They are.

We developed the SSSG concept to ensure that OPM receives an equitable and reasonable market-based rate. For the 1999 rate year, OPM will focus on the rating methods used for the two SSSGs to determine if the carrier appropriately derived the Federal group rates.

OPM requires the Federal group rates to be equivalent to the rates for the SSSGs. Therefore, we expect the Federal group to receive the largest rate discount given to an SSSG and any other advantages given to the SSSGs. For example, if the carrier gives an early rate quote (based on a lower community rate than the rates later quoted other groups) to an SSSG and does not revise it at a later date, we will interpret the SSSG rate as a

## OPM Reconciliation Guidelines - 1999

discounted rate, and require a similar rate discount for the Federal group. As another example, if the carrier rates an SSSG using a rating method not in accord with carrier-established policies, the Federal group is entitled to any rate reduction produced by applying the SSSG rating method to the Federal group.

The OPM audit staff may examine the rates and benefit loadings of non-SSSG groups. The purpose of such analysis is to make certain the Federal group rates are fair in relation to the SSSG rates. For example, if an SSSG had a special benefit not included in the Federal group benefit package, OPM would compare what the carrier charged the SSSG with what it charged other groups for this benefit. The purpose would be to verify that the SSSG received no discount.

All rate agreements between OPM and the carrier are subject to audits by the OPM Office of the Inspector General. The results of such audits may require modifications to previous agreements and subsequent rate adjustment. Pursuant to contract clause 3.4, Contractor Records Retention (FEHBAR 1652.204-70), OPM requires all carriers to maintain documentation to support all calculations and statements pertaining to this reconciliation. This includes documentation to support the SSSG rates. And, for carriers using an ACR method, this includes detailed reports supporting all data (e.g., claims data) used to derive the rates.

OPM will review the carrier's SSSGs to verify that the carrier is in compliance with OPM rating principles. Compliance now includes Federal group rate adjustments based on the carrier's treatment of its SSSGs.

## Special Loading For Enrollment Discrepancies

Beginning with contract year 1997, as the result of negotiations between OPM and representatives of community-rated carriers, we amended the Standard Contract for Federal Employees Health Benefits. The contract now provides for a special premium loading of 1\% to account for enrollment discrepancies. Note: The carrier must explicitly take this loading, but may eliminate its effect by also giving the Federal group a $1 \%$ discount. The carrier should keep in mind that the its contract with the FEHBP states in Section 3.6 (b) "the Carrier accepts the adjustment to the subscription charges in full resolution of all obligations of the Government in connection with the subscription payments as described in this section 3.6 and waives any rights it may have to claims for subscription payments under Section 3.1(a)."

## Multiple Rating Areas

As we explained in the 1999 rate instructions, beginning with the
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1999 rate year, we have amended our policy with regard to multiple rating areas. We now require that both SSSGs be chosen only from groups that have enrollees in the federal group's region.

Normally, a carrier must choose two SSSGs for every federal group having a unique rate code. It is possible that a carrier could have several different geographical regions of federal enrollees under the same rate code. It is even possible that these regions could be in different states.

Our SSSG policy with regard to federal groups in different regions under the same rate code is as follows:
(a) Those federal groups in the same state or in the same metropolitan area must be combined and the carrier must choose two SSSGs for the combined federal group.
(b) Those federal groups in different states (but not in the same metropolitan area) must be combined in each state, and the carrier must choose two SSSGs for the combined federal groups in each state.

The following examples illustrate the above policies.

## Example 1

A carrier operates only in the state of Texas. It serves federal enrollees in three distinct geographical areas, Dallas, Houston and San Antonio. Each region has it's own rate code.

The carrier must choose two SSSGs for each region. Those for each region would come from groups the carrier does business with having enrollees in that region.

## Example 2

Same situation as in Example 1 except that one rate code applies to all three regions, meaning that the same rate applies for all federal enrollees throughout the three regions.

In this case, the carrier must combine the Federal enrollment for all three regions, and choose two SSSGs for the combined group.

## Example 3

A carrier operates in two states, Texas and Arizona. In Texas it serves federal enrollees in Dallas, Houston, and San Antonio. In Arizona, it serves federal enrollees in Phoenix, Tucson, and
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Tombstone. One rate code applies to all six regions.
In this case two SSSGs are required for the combined Dallas, Houston and San Antonio regions. Two SSSGs are also required for the combined Phoenix, Tucson and Tombstone regions.

Note: The point of Example 3 is to illustrate that only regions within a state should be combined.

The same principle applies if the carrier operates in several states.

## Example 4

A carrier operates in two states, and serves a metropolitan area that is in both states. The rate code is the same for all enrollees in the metropolitan area.

In this case, two SSSGs are required for the combined metropolitan region enrollment.

Note: The point of Example 4 is to illustrate the exceptional case where regions in different states should be combined for SSSG purposes.

## Example 5

The size of the federal group in a region is 1700, and the carrier concludes that it must choose two SSSGs for this group. The carrier contracts with the statewide XYZ corporation, which has a statewide enrollment of 1500 with the carrier, with 100 of the enrollees living in the same region where the federal group is located.

The XYZ corporation would be a candidate for an SSSG since it has 100 enrollees in the federal group's region. The enrollment the carrier should use in comparing the size of this group to the federal group is the statewide enrollment of 1500 .

Note: The point of Example 5 is to illustrate that a group having only part of its enrollment in the federal group's region can be an SSSG. It is assumed that the rates for all 1500 enrollees of the SSSG are the same.

## State Taxes

5 U.S.C 8909(f) (1) prohibits the imposition of taxes, fees, or other monetary payment, directly or indirectly, on FEHBP premiums by any State, the District of Columbia, or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or by any political subdivision or other
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governmental authority of those entities. If your 1999 rates include an amount to recover such monies from the $F E H B P$, make an adjustment for this amount in the reconciliation in the form of a negative special benefit loading.

## Small Carrier Contingency Reserve Payments

A small carrier whose rates were reduced by the Office of Actuaries to generate a contingency reserve payment need not request this payment. OPM will automatically make this payment during the summer of 1999.

## Attachment III

## RECONCILIATION

CARRIER NAME: $\qquad$ STATE: $\qquad$ CODE : $\qquad$

## BIWEEKLY NET-TO-CARRIER RATES 1999 CONTRACT YEAR

SELF FAMILY

1. Actual FEHBP Rates - 1999 $\qquad$
2. Special Benefit Loadings
(a)
(b)
(c)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. FEHBP Rates Plus Special Loadings $\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Standard Loadings
(a) Extension of Coverage [.004x(3)] $\qquad$
$\qquad$
(b) Children's Loading $\qquad$
(c) Medicare Loading $\qquad$
$\qquad$

4d. Subtotal
4e. Enrollment Discrepancies Loading [.01x(4d)]
5. Total FEHBP Rates - 1999*
6. Contract Rate - 1999*
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Small Carriers Use Line C, Attachment I Here
7.Difference ((5) - (6))
$+=$ Underpayment to Carrier

- = Overpayment to Carrier
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

8. March 31, 1999 Enrollment $\qquad$
$\qquad$
TOTAL
9. Payment Due Carrier/(FEHBP) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
10. Brochure Printing Costs
11. Outstanding Amount Due Carrier/(FEHBP)
12. Total Amount Due Carrier/(FEHBP)

* These rates are subject to audit in accordance with the carrier's contract with OPM.
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Large carriers must complete lines 1 through 7 and line 10 of the reconciliation sheet. OPM will complete lines 8, 9, 11 and 12 for large carriers. Small carriers must complete lines 1 through 12 and use the results on line 12 to compute a 1999 rate adjustment.

OPM requires a reconciliation for several reasons. For carriers using Traditional Community Rating (TCR) or Community Rating By Class (CRC) to rate the Federal group, the main reason is that the carrier proposed it's 1999 contract rates seven months before the January 1, 1999 effective date. Therefore, the contract rates are probably based on estimated, rather than actual, community rates. To determine if money is due either OPM or the carrier, you must recalculate the rates, basing your calculations on the carrier's actual community rates (usually in the form of a capitation rate) effective for all groups renewing January 1, 1999.

For carriers using Adjusted Community Rating (ACR) to rate the Federal group, the reconciliation will differ very little from the original proposal (see "Special Instructions For Carriers Using ACR" below).

## Note that no matter what rating method the carrier uses for the Federal group, the actual Federal group rates in the reconciliation should be equivalent to the lowest rates the carrier charged an SSSG.

The enrollment data you used in the proposal should have been current data (at the time of the proposal). If you used groupspecific demographic assumptions (i.e., family size, self/family enrollment mix, etc.) in the proposal, you must use the same figures in the reconciliation. In particular, you may not revise the self/family enrollment mix to reflect results of the 1998 open season.

If, however, you used carrier-wide enrollment-mix or other demographic assumptions, and you revised these assumptions after you submitted the proposal (and before January 1, 1999) and you used the revisions for your SSSGs, you should base the reconciliation on the revised assumptions.

There are certain other factors you should change between the time of the proposal and reconciliation. If your rate is a weighted average of rates in several geographic areas, you should base the weight factors in the reconciliation on the March 31, 1999, enrollment in each area (which you provide OPM). Also, if you recalculate the Medicare loading, you should use the latest Medicare enrollment available.

If you used TCR or CRC to rate the Federal group, when doing the reconciliation, keep in mind that the original Federal group rate
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proposal based the self and family rates on the carrier's best estimate of its 1999 capitation rate (or equivalent). The reconciliation is simply a re-submission of the proposal, using the carrier's actual 1999 capitation rate (or equivalent) as a basis for the Federal group's rates.

## SPECIAL RECONCILIATION INSTRUCTIONS FOR CARRIERS USING ACR

If a carrier uses $A C R$, it may use a method based on utilization data or it may use a prospective method based on actual Federal claims data. In either case, the carrier must keep on file all data necessary to justify the $A C R$ rate (i.e., claims, utilization etc.). You should save backup tapes of your claims database for audit purposes. Note: this information should also be available for the SSSGs.

Very few carriers use an $A C R$ method based on utilization data to rate the Federal group. A carrier using this method should do the reconciliation in a manner similar to a CRC reconciliation. The special rules that apply for a claims-based ACR method were stated in the 1999 rate instructions. These rules also apply to the reconciliation and are as follows:

1) The experience period (and the claims used within that period) may not change in the reconciliation. It must be the same period (and the same claims) you used in the proposal.
2) If you used completion factors to convert paid claims to incurred claims, such factors must be the same for all groups for which you used a claims-based ACR method.
3) Any method you used to convert paid claims to incurred claims must be consistent for all groups you rated by a claims-based ACR method.
4) If claims include special benefits claims, you should take no special benefits loadings (either in the proposal or reconciliation). Note that claims should reflect extension of coverage, which means that you should not take the extension of coverage loading.
5) If claims include those of annuitants age 65 and over, you must reduce claims by an amount equal to Medicare income from HCFA or we must receive a credit for monies received from HCFA. (See ACR Questions QA10 and QA11)
6) Loadings for administrative expenses must be either:

> a) a flat community rated pm/pm amount or
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b) a standard percentage of claims
7) Any trend factor used for the Federal group must be the same as the trend factor the carrier used for other groups (that is, you may not base a trend factor for the Federal group on the Federal group's experience).

Note: The reconciliation for a carrier using a claims-based ACR method for the Federal group should differ only slightly from the original rate proposal. The only components that can change are:

1) Trend Factor. If you used an estimated trend factor in the 1999 proposal and later changed it (before January 1, 1999) for all groups for which you used a claimsbased ACR method, you must use the revised factor in the 1999 reconciliation.
2) Administration Cost Factor. If you used an estimated administration cost factor in the 1999 proposal and later changed it (before January 1, 1999) for all groups for which you used a claims-based ACR method, you must use the revised factor in the 1999 reconciliation.

Note: Both the trend factor and the administration cost factor must be consistent with the lowest such factors used for an SSSG.

## Instructions For Attachment III

The following gives a line-by-line explanation of how to fill out the reconciliation sheet. Item numbers correspond to line numbers on Attachment III.

## 1. Actual FEHBP Rates - 1999

This is the most significant part of the reconciliation process. Please do it with extreme care. The fundamental idea behind the reconciliation is that it is a re-submission of the original proposal, in which you revise your original rate estimate.

## TCR and CRC Carriers

For carriers using $T C R$ or $C R C$, the reconciliation usually involves substituting the carrier's actual 1999 capitation rate (or equivalent) for the estimates you used in the proposal. The enrollment mix and all other demographic assumptions remain the same as in the proposal (with some exceptions, as indicated earlier). Note that these "actual" rates should be the basis not only for the Federal group's rates, but also for the rates of both SSSGs.

Community-rated carriers use different methods to develop rates. Those using TCR or CRC usually base their rates on a "per member per month" rate (a.k.a. capitation rate) which the carrier then converts to self and family rates by means of "step-up" factors. These factors are related to family size and market considerations, and the carrier derives them by well-known, documented procedures.

There is usually a step-up factor that converts the capitation rate to a self rate and another factor that converts the self rate to a family rate. Some carriers have a step-up factor that converts the capitation rate directly to a family rate. However it is done, the goal is for the projected income generated by the self and family rates to equal the income that would be generated if each individual paid the capitation rate.

If you used a procedure based on a capitation rate to derive the 1999 self and family rates in the rate proposal submitted in May 1998, you must go through this procedure again to derive Line 1 of the reconciliation sheet (Attachment III). You must, however, use the actual January 1, 1999, capitation rate in this procedure instead of the estimate used in the proposal (keeping in mind that this "actual" capitation rate must be equivalent to the one used to derive the rates for the SSSGs). Use the same step-up factors you used in the proposal (unless the step-up factors have changed as the result of a revision of carrier-wide demographic assumptions before January 1, 1999, and you used the revisions for your SSSGs).

Some carriers using TCR or CRC derive rates in other ways. The
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principles described above still apply. To compute the Line 1 rates, simply go through the same procedure used in the original proposal, substituting actual rates (note that the "actual" rates used should be equivalent to those used for the SSSGs) for the proposed rates. The procedures you use should also be the same as those used for your SSSGs.

For large carriers, we require documentation of your actual community rates, as explained in Attachment IV. If your State requires that you file your rates with the State Insurance (or other) Department, enclose a copy of that filing. Otherwise, follow the instructions in Attachment IV.

So that OPM's actuaries can easily verify the rates on Line 1 , we require that:

1) your computations be clear
2) you circle in red the actual community rates and step-up factors used in your computations.

An example of an acceptable presentation of the line 1 calculation follows.

Example 2:
Actual capitation rate as of 1/1/99: \$20.00*
First level step-up factor used in proposal: 1.2**
Actual Self Rate: (\$20 x 1.2) \$24.00
Second level step-up factor used in proposal: 2.9**
Actual Family Rate: (\$24 x 2.9) \$69.60
*see insurance filing
**see attached sheet from original proposal
Note: We will not accept your reconciliation if your documentation is incomplete or your calculations are unclear.
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## ACR Carriers

Carriers using ACR should refer to the "Special Reconciliation Instructions For Carriers Using ACR" on page 12 of this document. You must go through the same procedure you used to derive the Line 1 rates in the original 1999 rate proposal, changing the trend factor and/or administration cost factor if appropriate. All other parts of the reconciliation should be done the same way you did the original proposal. Please keep in mind that the
reconciliation for a carrier using a claims-based ACR method should differ only slightly from the original rate proposal.

## 2. Special Benefit Loadings

OPM sometimes purchases benefits for Federal enrollees that are not in the carrier's community package. A special benefit may be a community-rated rider that the carrier offers to all its groups, or it may be a benefit provided only to the Federal group. If the carrier provides the benefit only to the Federal group (or if the benefit is simply not a community-rated benefit), and the carrier based its cost on assumptions approved by OPM at the time of the rate proposal, the actual loading (i.e., the cost of the benefit that you should put in the special benefit loading section of Attachment III) should be the same as the proposed loading.

If the special benefit is a community-rated rider, enter either the self and family rates filed with the State Insurance Department or calculate the actual loading based on the actual capitation rate for the special benefit. The procedure would be similar to that used for the Line 1 rates. As before, if you do not file with the State, submit other appropriate documentation for this rider.

If the special benefit loading is a function of the carrier's community rate (say a percentage of the Line 1 rate), calculate the Line 2 rate by multiplying the actual Line 1 rate by the same percentage used in the 1999 rate proposal.

## 3. FEHBP Rates Plus Special Loadings

The sum of Lines 1 and 2.

## 4a. Extension of Coverage Loading

If you are entitled to this loading, multiply Line 3 by . 004 (or the same factor you used in the proposal).

4b. Children's Loading
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This loading usually is a function of the community rate plus any special loadings. If the actual rates are different from those in the 1999 rate proposal, the children's loading will differ. Recalculate, using the same method used in the original proposal.

You may take this loading only if the carrier's normal practice is to take such a loading for all other groups whose age limit for children's coverage differs from the carrier's community standard.

You may not take this loading if you use a capitation rate and a group-specific family size to calculate group rates and include overage dependent children (i.e., children over the age limit for all dependents) in your calculation for average family size for the Federal group.

## 4c. Medicare Loading

If you derived this loading using the estimated community rates, re-do the derivation, using the actual rates and the latest Medicare enrollment distribution available. Also, if you used estimated Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) rates (i.e., the AAPCC) to derive this loading, and you now have actual HCFA rates, you may use them in the revised derivation. Include a copy of the original derivation so we can easily see the difference between the estimated and actual loading.

If the original derivation of this loading used actual community rates, recompute the loading using the latest Medicare enrollment distribution available.

If you use CRC or ACR to derive your rates, you must make sure that you have considered the effect of Coordination-Of-Benefits (COB) income the carrier received from HCFA. You should pay particular attention to questions QC16, QA10, and QA11 of the questionnaire.

Note: 1) A carrier using a claims-based ACR method will normally not have a Medicare loading.
2) A carrier claiming a Medicare loading must have appropriate documentation to justify the distribution of its Medicare population submitted in QG9.
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Important: Each year, OPM sends each carrier a list of Federal group enrollees identified as Medicare enrollees through the annual OPM/Social Security Administration Matching Study. You should not use this report without further analysis to determine the carrier's distribution of Medicare enrollees (QG9), because the "unknown" category contains many different types of Medicare enrollees, including people under age 65.

Important: As explained in the 1999 rate instructions, the carrier is either underpaid or overpaid for Federal annuitants and their covered spouses age 65 and older (hereafter referred to as "Federal annuitants"), and this underpayment or overpayment depends on the Federal annuitant's Medicare status.

The purpose of the Medicare loading is to reflect this underpayment or overpayment. The HMO must compute the cost of benefits for the Federal annuitants, and compare this with the income it receives on behalf of these annuitants from OPM and from HCFA. We suggested a method to derive the loading in the 1999 rate instructions, but want to make clear here that the HMO may derive the loading in any reasonable way that it can document.

We also want to point out the following:

1) If the HMO has a risk contract with HCFA, then (because it must file an ACR proposal with HCFA) the HMO should be able to provide OPM with a fairly accurate pm/pm estimate of the benefit cost for Federal annuitants over age 65. This cost should be roughly equal to the capitation rate the HMO receives from HCFA under the risk contract plus the premium (in terms of a capitation rate) the HMO charges the enrollee under the risk contract. The HMO should be able to determine the cost of any differences between the risk contract benefits and the FEHBP benefits.
2) If the HMO sells a Medicare supplement policy, once again, (since it has to price the policy) it should be able to provide OPM with a fairly accurate pm/pm estimate of the benefit cost for Federal annuitants over age 65. This cost should be roughly equal to the estimated capitation rate that the HMO receives indirectly from HCFA through coordination of benefits plus the premium (in terms of a capitation rate) the HMO charges for the Medicare supplement policy. The HMO should be able to determine the cost of any differences between the Medicare supplement policy benefits and the FEHBP benefits.
3) We ask about risk contracts and Medicare supplement policies in questions QG10 and QG11.
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4d. Subtotal
Add lines 3, 4(a), 4(b), and 4(c)
4e. Enrollment Discrepancies Loading
This is a special 1\% load to the rates which compensates the carrier for possible enrollment discrepancies.
5. Total FEHBP Rates - 1999

Add lines $4(d)$ and $4(e)$.
6. Contract Rate - 1999

For large carriers, the negotiated, biweekly, net-to-carrier contract rates, as agreed to during the summer of 1998. This rate is not the brochure rate (which is the net-to-carrier rate times 1.04).

NOTE: SMALL CARRIERS SHOULD PLACE IN LINE 6 THE RATES FOUND ON LINE C, ATTACHMENT I OF THEIR ORIGINAL 1999 RATE PROPOSAL

## 7. Difference

Subtract line 6 from line 5.

## 10. Brochure Printing Costs

This is the amount the carrier spent to produce the OPM-approved quantity of brochures. We will evaluate it for reasonableness. Submit any documentation you think will be helpful to us in evaluating the reasonableness of your requested amount. Note that the amount claimed may be for OPM brochures or rate sheets only. No costs for provider directories, business cards, or other promotional materials may be included.

8, 9, 11, and 12
For large carriers, these lines will be filled in by OPM's actuarial staff. Enrollment will be based on the March 31, 1999, semi-annual headcount.

## Instructions For Attachment III

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR SMALL CARRIERS ONLY

Small carriers must do some additional work on Attachment III. Since small carriers do not send this document to OPM, the carrier (rather than OPM) must determine the amount due the carrier or OPM. That is, small carriers must complete Line 12, Attachment III, which requires completing Lines 8, 9, and 11.

Detailed instructions are as follows:

## 8. March 31, 1999 Enrollment

Normally, OPM would put the March 31, 1999 Headcount enrollment numbers on Line 8. Since these numbers will not be available at the time the small carrier does the calculation, the carrier should use its March 31, 1999 Table 1 enrollment numbers. The Table 1 report is the enrollment data the carrier normally submits to OPM in April.

## 9. Payment Due Carrier/(FEHBP)

To compute Line 9, multiply the amounts on Line 7 by the March 31, 1999, enrollment numbers on Line 8; then multiply by 26 (since the rates are bi-weekly).

An example of this computation is below:
Example 3:
Suppose line 7 of the reconciliation sheet looks as follows:

| Self | Family |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1.00$ | $\$ 2.00$ |

That is, the actual carrier rates on line 5 turned out to be higher than the contract rates on line 6 . This means that OPM owes the carrier money. Assume line 8 (enrollment) is as follows:

$$
8 \quad \underline{200} \quad \underline{400}
$$

That is, the Table 1 carrier enrollment is 200 self and 400 family. Therefore, to determine the total amount owed the carrier, we have

$$
[200 \mathrm{x} \$ 1.00 \mathrm{x} 26]+[400 \mathrm{x} \$ 2.00 \mathrm{x} 26]=\$ 26,000
$$

## Instructions For Attachment III

## 11. Outstanding Amount Due Carrier/(FEHBP)

This is any amount due the carrier or OPM from previous years. As an example, suppose OPM owed the carrier $\$ 50,000$ last year, and the 1999 rates were purposely increased to pay the carrier this debt. In the 1999 rate reconciliation, $\$ 50,000$ would be placed in line 11.

## 12. Total Amount Due Carrier/(FEHBP)

The sum of lines 9 through 11.
The amount on line 12 must be used by a small carrier to determine the 2000 rate adjustments. You will place the 2000 rate adjustments on Line B of your 2000 rate proposal sheet (Attachment I) which we will send you at a later date. An example of how you might compute the rate adjustments follows.

Example 4:
Assume the amount on line 12 is $\$ 76,000$ (this would be the case in Example 3, assuming there is also $\$ 50,000$ on Line 11 , and no brochure printing costs on Line 10). You must determine a self and family loading equivalent to this amount. Suppose the carrier expects the Federal group enrollment in 2000 to increase by 10 percent over the 1999 enrollment (i.e., 2000 enrollment will be 220 self and 440 family). Then, the adjustment could be $\$ 2.66$ self and \$5.32 family, since

$$
[220 \times \$ 2.66 \times 26]+[440 \times \$ 5.32 x 26]=\$ 76,000
$$

OPM will allow reasonable flexibility in determining the amount of the rate adjustment. As long as you use reasonable enrollment assumptions and the adjustment generates the correct amount of money, many different self and family adjustments are possible. All assumptions will be subject to audit or verification at a later date. Therefore, you must keep on file all supporting calculations for the Federal group's rates and the SSSG rates.

## IMPORTANT SPECIAL INSTRUCTION TO SMALL CARRIERS

Line 6, Attachment III is for the 1999 contract rates. Small carriers should put the rates from Line C, Attachment I of the original rate proposal onto Line 6, Attachment III. This avoids the possibility that OPM would pay twice to a small carrier whose 1999 rates were reduced by OPM to generate a contingency reserve payment (which will automatically be sent to the carrier sometime during the summer of 1999).

## Attachment IIIA

## RECONCILIATION BACKUP SHEET

```
Please provide the details of the reconciliation on this sheet.
Specifically, show how you derive the numbers on the
reconciliation.
```

1. Actual FEHBP Rates - 1999

IMPORTANT! DO NOT SKIP THIS SECTION. In this section we want a simple and concise explanation of your Line 1 rates.
Please remember that all calculations are subject to audit.

## Attachment IIIA

## 2. Special Benefit Loadings

In most cases, the special benefit loadings will be the same as they were in the proposal. If they are not, give the details here.

## 4b. Children's Loading

We present the "suggested method" format (used by most carriers) for your convenience. If you do not use the suggested method, give the details of your method.
(A) Line 3 (Attachment III) Family Rate = $\qquad$
(B) Line 3 (Attachment III) Single Rate = $\qquad$
(C) (A) $-(2 \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{B}))=$ Children's Rate* $=$ $\qquad$
(D) Children are insured up to what age = $\qquad$
(E) Extend Children's Coverage = for (22 - (D)) Years
(F) Loading if Plan's Community Rate $=$ $\qquad$ Covers Full-Time Students:

$$
\frac{(E)}{(D)} \times \quad(C) \times .20
$$

(G) Loading if Plan's Community Rate $=$ $\qquad$ Does Not Cover Full-Time Students:
(E) $\times$ (C) $\times .55$ (D)

* If the actual biweekly cost per child is known, and the average number of children per family is known, the children's rate may be computed by multiplying the two figures together. In general, if you can compute the overall rate for children in a more accurate way than that suggested in line (C), use that result in line (C).


## Attachment IIIA

4c. Medicare Loading
DO NOT SKIP THIS SECTION. WE REALIZE THAT YOU MAY HAVE ENCLOSED DETAILED CALCULATIONS ELSEWHERE, BUT IN THIS SECTION WE WANT AT LEAST A GENERAL EXPLANATION OF WHAT YOU DO TO OBTAIN THE MEDICARE LOADING.
10. Brochure Printing Costs

## Attachment IIIB, Section 1

## General Questions

QG1. What method of community rating did you use in your 1999 rate proposal?
[ ] TCR (Traditional Community Rating)
a.[ ] Standard (Book) Rating
b.[ ] Variable (Group Specific) Rating
[ ] CRC (Community Rating By Class)
[ ] ACR (Adjusted Community Rating)

QG2. Is the method you have used for the 1999 reconciliation the same as the method used in the 1999 proposal?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If No, explain.

## Attachment IIIB, Section 1

```
QG3. Do your Line 1 rates reflect any tax, fee or monetary
    payment imposed on the carrier by a state or local
    government?
        [ ] YES
            [ ] NO
If Yes, have you included a negative loading in the Special
Benefits Section of the reconciliation?
    [ ] YES
    [ ] NO
    If No, does the carrier do business in Arkansas, Arizona,
Connecticut, D.C, Florida, Illinois, Kansas, Louisiana,
Maine, Maryland, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, New
Mexico, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Texas, Virginia,
Washington or Wisconsin?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If Yes, explain why you included no negative loading.
```

QG4. Are the special loadings given in the reconciliation the same as they were in the proposal?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

If No, explain.

## Attachment IIIB, Section 1

QG5. Are you required to file your community rates with any state regulatory agency?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

QG6. If you answered yes to QG5, have you circled the appropriate community rates in red on the copy of the insurance department filing that you have enclosed?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If No, explain.

If Yes, what is the page number of the insurance department filing on which the appropriate rates appear (please number the pages by hand if necessary)?

QG7. If you use different rating methods (i.e., TCR, CRC, ACR) for different groups, describe your criteria for the use of each method.

## Attachment IIIB, Section 1

QG8. How do you determine the rates for your state and local government groups?

QG9. Show the number of Federal annuitants and their covered spouses covered in the plan age 65 and older using the following categories:

Medicare Part A and Part B $\qquad$

Medicare Part A Only
Medicare Part B Only
Neither Part A nor Part B $\qquad$
Cannot Determine
Note: The sum of the numbers in the 5 blanks above should be the total number of Federal annuitants and their covered spouses in the plan age 65 and older. If you have revised your Medicare loading in this reconciliation, you should be using the above distribution.

Note: Important! Before you complete the above table, review the note (at the top of page 18) pertaining to the list of Medicare enrollees OPM sends the carrier each year.

## Attachment IIIB, Section 1

QG10. Does your HMO have a risk contract with HCFA?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

If Yes, explain the arrangement you have with HCFA, describe all benefit packages you offer enrollees under the risk contract, and the premiums (if any) the enrollees enrolled under the risk contract pay the HMO.

QG11. Does your HMO sell a Medicare supplement policy?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If Yes, describe the benefit packages of any Medicare supplement policies you offer, and the premiums you charge for them.

## Attachment IIIB, Section 1

reconciliation, explain how you obtained the distribution in QG9. Also, what is the source of this distribution? Note that this source material must be on file at the carrier, and available to OPM auditors.

QG13. Describe the specific benefit plan the Federal group purchases from the carrier.

QG14. Please sign, date and give telephone and fax number.
Attachment IIIB
Questionnaire Prepared By: $\qquad$

Date:

Telephone:
$\qquad$

Fax:

E-Mail

## Attachment IIIB, Section 2

## SSSG Questions

QS1. Give the names of your two SSSGs. Also, give the number enrolled (and the "as of" date of enrollment) in each SSSG. IMPORTANT NOTE: The SSSGs are the two groups closest in total contract size to the Federal group. You should use the latest 1999 enrollment available.
Keep in mind that your SSSG selection is subject to audit. Therefore, we expect you to maintain complete rate documentation for at least the ten groups closest in contract size to the Federal group.

Enrollment
Name Self Family Date

1. $\qquad$
2. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Note: If an SSSG has more than two rating tiers, include the enrollment for all tiers.

QS2. How do the benefit packages for your SSSGs differ from the benefit package for the Federal group?

QS3. What method of community rating (TCR, CRC, ACR) did you use to rate the following groups?

Method

1. Federal Group
2. SSSG \#1
3. SSSG \#2
$\qquad$

## Attachment IIIB, Section 2

```
QS4. What are the 1999 net-to-carrier rates for the Federal
        group and your SSSGs?
            Self Family
    1. Federal group _ (From Line 5,
    2. SSSG #1 _
    3. SSSG #2
    Note: If an SSSG has more than two rating tiers, include
    the rates for all tiers.
QS5. Did you give an SSSG an early rate quote?
    [ ] YES
    [ ] NO
    If Yes, did you update the quote to reflect the actual
    community rates?
    [ ] YES
    [ ] NO
If No, explain
```


## Attachment IIIB, Section 2

QS6. Do either or both of the SSSG's have multi-year contracts?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If Yes, give details about the multi-year contract(s) (such as options to renew and cancel contract). Also, explain how you ensure that you treat the Federal group the same as the SSSGs.

QS7. Do either or both of the SSSG's have benefit packages that include a point-of-service option?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

If yes, give details on these options.

## Attachment IIIB, Section 2

QS8. What are the five groups you do business with that are closest in total contract size to the Federal group, and what type of benefit plan do they have? Include information on the Federal group. Also, include groups that are not eligible to be SSSGs.

| Group | Total <br> Contracts <br> Date | Rate <br> Effective | Coverage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$| Rating |
| :--- |
| Method |

1. 
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 

QS9. Are there any groups you do business with that are closer in total contract size to the Federal group than either of your SSSGs?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If Yes, explain why the groups are not SSSGs.

## Attachment IIIB, Section 2

QS10. Did either of the SSSGs receive any type of discount, or any other type of rate advantage over the Federal group? (Note that we interpret an industry factor less than 1.0 as a discount factor)
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

If Yes, explain what kind of discount or rate advantage the SSSG received.

If Yes, did you apply the discount to the Federal group?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If No, please do so.

## Attachment IIIB, Section 2

QS11. Did you use projected demographics for an SSSG's CRC factors and/or step-up factors?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If Yes, explain why you used these projections, and show what the factors would be if you had used actual enrollment data.

## Attachment IIIB, Section 2

QS12. Did you rate the SSSGs using a method other than that used for the Federal group?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

If Yes, explain why and provide your underwriting guidelines.

QS13. On one of the following Comparison Sheets (there is one for carriers using $T C R$ or $C R C$ and another for carriers using ACR), show the method by which you determined the billed rates for your SSSGs and the Federal group. Indicate in a step-by-step manner how you got from your starting point (in the TCR and CRC cases, this is usually a capitation rate) to the billed rates. If you used ACR for the groups, include utilization data. Explain how the method used for the SSSGs differs from that used for the Federal group.

Include calculations, and be sure to maintain backup documentation for all calculations. This documentation will be subject to audit at a future date. Use additional sheets if necessary.

MAKE SURE THAT BY THE TIME WE FINISH READING YOUR EXPLANATION, IT WILL BE CLEAR TO US WHY THE FEDERAL RATES DIFFER FROM THE SSSG RATES. IF YOU HAVE INCLUDED RATE DEVELOPMENT SHEETS FOR THESE GROUPS, DO NOT REFER US TO THESE SHEETS AT THIS POINT. WHAT WE WANT HERE IS A SIMPLE EXPLANATION OF HOW THE SSSG RATES DIFFER FROM THE FEDERAL GROUP RATES.

We give simple examples to serve as a guide. Do not hesitate to elaborate in your presentation. Carriers using ACR should keep in mind that the following is only an example, and that you may need to include more information, depending on how your ACR method works.

## Attachment IIIB, Section 2

QS13. (continued)
EXAMPLE TCR and CRC COMPARISON SHEET

|  |  | Federal Group | SSSG \#1 | SSSG \#2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Group Renewal Date | $1-1-99$ | $1-1-99$ | $2-1-99$ |
| 2. | Rating Method (a) <br> (TCR,CRC,ACR) | CRC | CRC | CRC |
| 3. | Capitation (b) | $\$ 100.00$ | $\$ 98.00$ | $\$ 101.00$ |
| 4. | Age/Sex Factor | .92 | .98 | 1.04 |
| 5. | Industry Factor (c) | .95 | .95 | .98 |
| 6. | Other Discounts | .95 | 1.00 | .95 |
| 7. | Total Discount (d) | $.95 \times .98$ | $.95 \times 1.00$ | $.95 \times .98$ |
| 8. | 1st Level | 1.30 | 1.12 | 1.22 |
| 9. | Self Rate(f) | $\$ 111.35$ | $\$ 102.19$ | $\$ 119.31$ |
| 10. | Family/Self Ratio | 2.71 | 2.80 | 2.55 |
| 11. | Family Rate | $\$ 301.76$ | $\$ 286.13$ | $\$ 304.24$ |

(a) If all three methods are not the same, explain why.
(b) IMPORTANT! If these capitation rates are not the same, explain why in QS14.
(c) The Federal group receives the lowest industry factor < 1.0 given to an SSSG.
(d) IMPORTANT: The Federal group receives the lowest total discount given to an SSSG.

In this case, one SSSG received a total discount of (. $95 \times 1.00$ ) and the other received a total discount of (.95x .98) Therefore the Federal group would get a discount of (.95 x .98) , the lower of the two.)
(e) Show How Factors Are Derived.
(f) $\quad \$ 100 \times .92 \times(.95 \times .98) \times 1.3=\$ 111.35$

## Attachment IIIB, Section 2

QS13. (continued)

> COMPARISON SHEET - TCR AND CRC

Federal Group SSSG \#1
SSSG \#2

## Attachment IIIB, Section 2

QS13(continued)

## EXAMPLE - ACR COMPARISON SHEET

This shows one way you might present your ACR rate development. You should modify this example to fit your particular ACR procedure. Note that although this example is for the Federal group only, your comparison sheet must include the SSSGs as well as the Federal group.
a. Rating Method
b. Group Renewal Date
c. Experience Period
d. Paid Claims
e. Annual Trend (if different, explain)
f. Trend From Experience Period

To Renewal Period [(1+.12/12) $)^{24}$
g. Expected Claims [(d) x 1.27]
h. Administration (if different, explain)
\$12,700,000
$15 \%$
i. Claims + Administration $[(\mathrm{g}) /(1-.15)] \quad \$ 14,941,176$
j. Members

100,000
k. Per/Person Rate [(i)/(j)]
\$149.41

1. First Level Step-Up Factor
m. Bi-weekly Self Rate [(l) x (k) x 12/26]$\$ 82.75$
n. Family/Self Ratio ..... 2.6
o. Family Rate [(m) x (n)] ..... \$215.15
p. Discount
$10 \%$
q. Rates After Discount

ACR
1/1/99
1/1/97-12/31/97
\$10,000,000
$12 \%$
$27 \%$
,12, /00,000
1.2
$\$ 82.75$

- Eamly Rat [(m)x (n)]

Self $\$ 74.48$
Family \$193.64

Federal Group $\quad$ SSSG \#1 $\quad$ SSSG \#2
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QS13 (continued)

## COMPARISON SHEET - ACR

Federal Group SSSG \#1 SSSG \#2

## Attachment IIIB, Section 2

QS14. If you use TCR or CRC, are the capitation rates shown on the comparison sheet of QS13 the same for the Federal group and the SSSG's?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

If no, explain on the following page. Below is an example of a proper explanation.

In the example in QS13, the capitation for the Federal group is $\$ 100$, but only $\$ 98$ for $S S S G$ \#1. The explanation could be as follows:

SSSG \#1 Capitation \$98.00
Adjustment for "Gold Plan"* $\$ 2.00$
Federal Group Capitation \$100.00

* The Federal group has the "Gold Plan", which includes extra psychiatric benefits and a durable medical equipment benefit. SSSG \#1 has the "Silver Plan", which is the "Gold Plan' without the aforementioned extra benefits. The capitation for these benefits is as follows:

Psychiatric Benefit \$1.50
DME Benefit
$\$ .50$

Gold Plan Extra Benefits
$\$ 2.00$

Note: This explanation enables us to see precisely why the capitation for SSSG \#1 is different from the Federal group's capitation. The goal of your explanation is to make any such differences in capitation rates clear to us.

## Attachment IIIB, Section 2

QS14 (continued)

## EXPLANATION SHEET

## Attachment IIIB, Section 3

## TCR Questions

QT1. On what type of community rate did you base your 1999 rates for the Federal group and other groups?
[ ] Standard set of tiered rates applicable to all groups with a tiered rate structure.
[ ] Per member/per month capitation rate
You may check both blocks if you use a standard set of tiered rates which are derived from a capitation rate.
[ ] Other (Explain)

QT2. If you used a standard set of tiered rates (applicable to all groups) what are they?

Self $\qquad$ Family $\qquad$
[ ] NA

QT3. If you used a capitation rate, what is the actual (as opposed to what may have been estimated in the proposal) capitation rate on which the 1999 Federal group rates should be based? $\qquad$
[ ] NA

## Attachment IIIB, Section 3

QT4. If you used a capitation rate for 1999 and converted it to a self rate and a family rate using step-up factors, what are these step-up factors? Specifically, what is the step-up factor used to convert the capitation rate to the self rate? What is the step-up factor used to convert the self rate to the family rate?

[ ] NA (Do not use step-up factors) Go To Question QT8

QT5. Are the above step-up factors the same as those used in the 1999 rate proposal which you submitted in May 1998?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If No, is the reason because the carrier revised its community-wide demographics after the 1999 rate proposal was made (and used the revised step-up factors for its SSSGS) ?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If No, what was the reason for the change in the step-up factors?
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QT6. How did you derive the above step-up factors? Explain briefly (a numerical formula for each factor is the preferred form of explanation).

```
Example:
Self/Capitation = 1.17 = . 40 + . 60(3.5)
    .40 + .60(2.9)
```

QT7. Do you use step-up factors for all groups?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If No, explain the criteria that you use to determine when step-up factors are applicable.

QT8. If you use enrollment-mix or other demographic assumptions at any point in the development of the 1999 Federal group rates, (including development of step-up factors), what are they?
\% Self Contracts
\% Family Contracts
Family Size
Other:

## Attachment IIIB, Section 3

QT9. Are the demographic assumptions in QT8 the same as they were in the 1999 rate proposal?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
[ ] NA
If No, or NA, is the reason because the carrier revised its community-wide demographics after the 1999 rate proposal (and used the revised demographics for its SSSGs?)
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If No, explain.

QT10. What is the source of your demographic information? Is the same source used for all groups? If not, where do you get the demographic information for other groups? Note: You must maintain the source of your demographic data on file for possible examination by the OPM audit staff.
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QT11. Show the calculations behind the rates that you have on line 1 on the reconciliation sheet. DO NOT REFER US TO ANOTHER SHEET FOR THIS CALCULATION. WHAT WE WANT HERE IS A SIMPLE EXPLANATION OF WHERE THE LINE 1 RATES COME FROM

```
Example:
Capitation = $27.00
1st Level Step Up Factor = 1.1
Line 1 Self Rate = $27 x 1.1 = $29.70
2nd Level Step Up Factor = 2.9
Line 1 Family Rate = $29.70 x 2.9 = $86.13
```


## Attachment IIIB, Section 4

QC1. Give a simple narrative explanation of how you developed your rates.

QC2. Did you begin with a capitation rate?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

If Yes, what is the actual capitation rate (as opposed to your estimated proposal) on which the 1999 Federal group rates (Line 1 of Attachment III) should be based?

Capitation Rate = $\qquad$

If No, explain how you did begin.
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QC3. What CRC factors do you use?
[ ] AGE
[ ] SEX
[ ] OTHER $\qquad$ , $\qquad$ , $\qquad$

QC4. What is your CRC adjustment factor? $\qquad$

Explain how you derived the CRC adjustment factor. In particular, on what population data are the CRC utilization factors based? How often do you update the data on which the CRC utilization factors are based?

## Attachment IIIB, Section 4

QC5. Have you enclosed any worksheets (i.e. sheets showing age/sex distribution and relative utilization factors) you used to derive the CRC adjustment factors? Please note that you must have documented support for the CRC age/sex factors for both the Federal group and the SSSGs.
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

If No, please enclose worksheets and change this answer to YES.

QC6. Is the CRC adjustment factor the same as it was in the 1999 rate proposal?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

If No, explain.

QC7. If you used a CRC-adjusted capitation rate for 1999 and converted it to a self rate and a family rate using stepup factors, what are these step-up factors? Specifically, what is the step-up factor used to convert the capitation rate to the self rate? What is the step-up factor used to convert the self rate to the family rate?
$\frac{\text { Self }}{\text { Capitation }}=\square \quad \frac{\text { Family }}{\text { Self }}=$
[ ] NA (Do not use step-up factors) Go To Question QC11
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QC8. Are the above step-up factors the same as those used in the 1999 rate proposal (which you submitted in May 1998)?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

If No, is the reason because the carrier revised its community-wide demographics after the 1999 rate proposal was made (and used the revised step-up factors for its SSSGs) ?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If No, what was the reason for the change in the step-up factors?

QC9. How did you derive the above step-up factors? Explain briefly (we prefer a numerical formula for each factor as the explanation).

```
Example:
Self/Capitation = 1.17 = . .40+.60(3.5)
```
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QC10. Do you use step-up factors for all groups?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If No, explain the criteria you use to determine when step-up factors are applicable.

QC11. If you use enrollment-mix or other demographic assumptions at any point in the development of the 1999 Federal group rates, (including development of step-up factors), what are they?
\% Self Contracts
\% Family Contracts
Family Size
Other:

## Attachment IIIB, Section 4

QC12. Are the demographic assumptions in QC11 the same as they were in the 1999 rate proposal?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
[ ] NA
If No, or NA, did the carrier revise its community-wide demographics after the 1999 rate proposal was made (and used the revised demographics for its SSSGs?)
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If No, explain.

QC13. If either of your SSSGs has an industry factor less than 1.00 , did you apply the lowest factor less than 1.00 to the Federal group rates?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

If No, explain.
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QC14. If both SSSGs have industry factors greater than 1.00 , did you apply an industry factor of 1.00 to the Federal group rates?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

If No, explain.

QC15. Explain how you derive the "relative utilization factors" associated with your age/sex distribution sheet.

Note that we would expect the factors to be based on the utilization experience of the different age groups of the total employee population the carrier services. In some cases, a carrier might use factors based on some other large population. Please make it clear to us exactly where your relative utilization factors come from, and on what population they are based.

IMPORTANT! DO NOT SKIP THIS QUESTION
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QC16. When you derive the CRC adjustment factor, do you include the number of Federal annuitants over age 65 anywhere in the calculation? What about the number of Federal annuitants under age 65? In general, explain how you use the group of Federal retirees (if at all) in your calculation of the CRC factor.

## IMPORTANT! DO NOT SKIP THIS QUESTION

## Attachment IIIB, Section 4

QC17. Show the calculations behind the rates that you have on line 1 on the reconciliation sheet. DO NOT REFER US TO ANOTHER SHEET FOR THIS CALCULATION. WHAT WE WANT HERE IS A SIMPLE EXPLANATION OF THE LINE 1 RATES.

```
Example:
    Capitation = $25.00
    CRC Adjustment Factor = 1.08
    Adjusted Capitation = $25 x 1.08 = $27
    1st Level Step-Up Factor = 1.1
    2nd Level Step-Up Factor = 2.9
    Line 1 Self Rate = $27 x 1.1 = $29.70
    Line 1 Family Rate = $29.70 x 2.9 = $86.13
```


## Attachment IIIB, Section 5

## ACR Questions

QA1. What method of ACR did you use for your 1999 rate proposal?
[ ] A Method Using Utilization Factors (Go to QA12.)
[ ] A Method Using Actual Claims Data
Note: You should have on file any claims/utilization data supporting the rates for the Federal group and SSSGs.

QA2. Did you use the same experience period (and the same claims within that period) in the reconciliation that you used in the proposal?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If No, explain. As a general rule, neither the experience period nor the claims should change between the proposal and the reconciliation.

QA3. Did you use the same trend that you used in the proposal?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If No, explain

What trend do you use in the reconciliation?
What trend did you use in the original proposal?
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QA4. Did you use the same trend for the SSSG's that you used for the Federal group?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If No, explain.

QA5. If you use completion factors to derive incurred claims, do you use the same set of factors for all groups?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
[ ] NA
If No, explain

## Attachment IIIB, Section 5

QA6. If you use a completion factor to derive incurred claims, did the factor remain the same between the proposal and the reconciliation?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
[ ] NA
If No, explain

QA7. What kind of administrative loading did you use?
[ ] A flat community rated pm/pm administrative charge.
[ ] A percentage of claims.

Explain how you computed the administrative charge.

QA8. Did the claims used in the rate development reflect special benefits? Note: If special benefits were not included in the claims, please have on file claims/utilization reports to support this assertion.
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

## Attachment IIIB, Section 5

QA9. Did you reduce claims used in the rate development by Coordination-Of-Benefits (COB) income that the carrier received from other insurance carriers (Excluding HCFA)?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO

If No, you should give us a credit for any monies received from other insurance carriers.

QA10. Do you include age 65 or above retirees in the claims or utilization data used to determine the ACR factor or rates?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If no, you should include a standard Medicare loading.

QA11. If you answered yes to QA10, are HCFA reimbursements included in the group's experience?
[ ] YES
[ ] NO
If No, the Medicare loading should be a credit for all monies received from HCFA.

If Yes, there should be no Medicare loading.

## Attachment IIIB, Section 5

QA12. Explain in narrative form how you derived your line 1
rates. INCLUDE CALCULATIONS. If you derived a capitation
rate from claims data, and used step-up factors to adjust
it, show this. If you used a method based on utilization
factors, show how you broke the capitation rate into
components, what you used for utilization factors, what
the adjusted capitation rate is, etc. Use extra sheets if
necessary. DO NOT SKIP THIS SECTION OR REFER US TO
ANOTHER SHEET. WHAT WE WANT HERE IS A SIMPLE EXPLANATION
OF YOUR LINE 1 RATES.

## Attachment IV

## Documentation of 1999 Community Rates and Riders (Large Carriers Only)

If the State requires the carrier to file its official community rates with the State insurance department, OPM requires a copy of this filing. If the insurance department must approve such a filing, also send us a copy of the approval. BE SURE TO CIRCLE IN RED ALL RATES AND RIDERS ON THE INSURANCE FILING THAT APPLY TO THE FEHBP.

If the State does not require the carrier to file its community rates, we require some other form of documentation.

Acceptable documentation includes:

1) rate development sheets for the carrier's SSSGs.
2) rating guidelines used by the carrier's rating personnel.

The Reconciliation Questionnaire contains some questions pertaining to the rate development of the SSSGs. You should provide any backup documents that will enable us to better understand the answers to these questions.

## Attachment VI

## Certificate of Accurate Pricing For Community Rated Carriers

This is to certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

1) The cost or pricing data submitted (or, if not submitted, maintained and identified by the carrier as supporting documentation) to the Contracting Officer or the Contracting Officer's representative or designee in support of the 1999 FEHBP rates were developed in accordance with the requirements of 48 CFR Chapter 16 and the FEHBP contract and are accurate, complete, and current as of the date this certificate is executed; and
2) The methodology used to determine the FEHBP rates is consistent with the methodology used to determine the rates for the carrier's Similarly Sized Subscriber Groups.

Firm $\qquad$

Name $\qquad$
Title $\qquad$
Signature $\qquad$
Date $\qquad$

## Attachment VI

## Carrier Contacts

For information about your reconciliation, we should contact:

Name $\qquad$

Phone Number $\qquad$

Fax Number $\qquad$

E-Mail $\qquad$

OR

Name $\qquad$

Phone Number $\qquad$

Fax Number

E-Mail

