## Appendix G

## **Computing a Wage Trend Line**

A wage trend line passes through an array of wage data so that the data above the line and the data below the line are in balance.

A mathematical equation for a trend line allows the trend of a series of data to be shown, and also provides a concise definition of that trend. Such an equation is used in the "least squares" method, accepted by statisticians as a sound, convenient device for obtaining an objective fit of a trend line.

In fitting a trend line to wage survey findings, the first trend lines used are straight lines fitted to the data by the "least squares" method.

Consistent with the name "least squares", the sum of the squares of the deviations of all the data, both above and below the fitted line, is less than the sum of the squares of the deviations of the data from any *other* straight line. Another characteristic of this is that the sum of the deviations of the data above the line exactly balances the sum of the deviations below.

In computing the trend line two methods are used:

- (1) the so-called unit line approach which gives equal weight to each survey job weighted average and
- (2) the so-called frequency line which weights each survey job average by the number of employees matched to the job in the survey.

The Formula for a straight line Y = a + bx

Y = computed value of trend

a =the value of the line at its origin

b = intergrade differential

x = any grade level

In order to compute a *unit* trend line under the "least squares" formula the values of the unknown "a" and "b" are derived through use of the following equations:

$$a = \underbrace{(\sum x5)(\sum y) - (\sum x)(\sum xy)}_{(N)(\sum x5) - (\sum x)5}$$

By substituting the appropriate column totals from columns (1) through (5) from the sample computation sheet we get the following:

$$a = \frac{(1587)(208.396) - (185)(1581.005)}{(26)(1587) - (185)5}$$

$$a = \frac{330,724.45 - 292,485.92}{41,262 - 34,225}$$

$$a = \frac{38,238.53}{7,037}$$

$$a = 5.434$$

$$b = \frac{(N)(\sum xy) - (\sum x)(\sum y)}{(N)(\sum x5) - (\sum x)5}$$

(This divisor is identical to that used in the arithmetic computation shown for solving the unknown value of "a" above.)

$$b = \frac{(26)(1581.005) - (185)(208.396)}{(26)(1587) - (185)5}$$

$$b = \frac{41,106.13 - 38,553.26}{41,262 - 34,225}$$

$$b = \frac{2,552.87}{7,037}$$

$$b = 0.363$$

To determine the wage rate for any given grade level the values for "a" and "b" are substituted in the original formula of Y = a + bx. Inserted in the place of x is the grade to be computed. For example:

Grade 1:  

$$Y = a + bx = 5.434 + (.363)$$
  
 $(1) = 5.797 (5.80)$   
Grade 5:  
 $Y = a + bx = 5.434 + (.363)$   
 $(5) = 7.249 (7.25)$   
Grade 10:  
 $Y = a + bx = 5.434 + (.363)$   
 $(10) = 9.064 (9.06)$ 

In computing a *frequency* trend line under the "least squares" formula the following equations are used:

$$a = \underbrace{(\sum fx5)(\sum fy) - (\sum fx)(\sum fxy)}_{(\sum f)(\sum fx5) - (\sum fx)5}$$
$$b = \underbrace{(\sum f)(\sum fxy) - (\sum fx)(\sum fy)}_{(\sum f)(\sum fx5) - (\sum fx)5}$$

The equations are solved by substituting the appropriate column totals from columns (6) through (10) as shown in the sample computation sheet. To determine the wage rate for any given level the same procedures used for the unit line are followed.

**Exhibit I. Computation Sheet** 

Unit line					Frequency line				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Example Survey Job	Survey Job Grade	Weighted Average	(2) x (3)	(2) <sup>2</sup> (squared)	Number Survey Job Matches	(6) x (2)	(6) x (3)	(6) x (4)	(6) x (5)
n	х	У	xy	<b>x</b> 5	f	fx	fy	fxy	fx5
A B C D E F G H - J K L M N O P Q R S T U > V X	1 2 2 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	5.296 6.529 6.698 7.762 5.116 6.209 6.801 6.501 7.269 7.918 8.004 7.793 9.233 8.279 8.203 8.167 9.631 9.212 9.080 8.080 8.551 8.771 10.448 9.638	5.296 13.058 13.396 23.286 20.464 24.836 34.005 32.505 36.345 39.590 48.024 46.758 64.631 66.232 73.827 73.503 96.310 92.120 90.800 80.800 85.510 87.710 104.480 96.380	1 4 9 16 16 25 25 25 25 25 36 36 49 64 81 81 100 100 100 100	1948 2150 3865 1737 2078 125 66 2054 2757 439 1228 363 1884 5327 3821 137 1227 846 224 1274 529 1536 205 2586	1948 4300 77730 5211 8312 500 330 10270 13785 2195 7368 2178 13188 42616 34389 1233 12270 8460 2240 12740 5290 15360 2050 2050	10316.605 14037.348 25887.770 13482.594 10631.047 776.125 448.866 13353.055 20040.633 3476.002 9828.910 2828.859 17394.969 44102.234 4102.234 7793.352 2033.920 10293.918 4523.477 13472.254 2141.840 24923.863	10316.07 28074.701 51775.540 40447.774 42524.170 3104.500 2244.329 66765.249 100203.126 17380.008 58973.456 16973.152 121764.798 352817.835 282092.951 10069.910 118172.348 77933.516 20339.197 102939.184 45234.787 134722.547 21418.399 249238.653	1948 8600 15460 15633 33248 2000 1650 51350 68925 10975 44208 13068 92316 340928 309501 11097 122700 84600 22400 127400 52900 153600 20500 258600
Y Z	11 13	9.036 9.276 9.931	102.036 129.103	121 169	222 1330	2442 17290	24923.603 2059.272 13208.227	22651.991 171706.986	26862 224770
N=26	∑x=185	9.931 ∑y=280.396	∑xy= 1581.005	$\sum x5 = 1587$	∑f=39958	∑fx=259555	$\sum fy = 311334.911$	$\sum fxy = 2169885.715$	$\sum fx^5 = 2115239$

 $<sup>\</sup>begin{split} N &= \text{total number of survey jobs} \\ x &= \text{grade} \\ y &= \text{weighted average} \\ f &= \text{number of survey job matches} \\ \Sigma &= \text{summation} \end{split}$