SES Performance Management System Executive Performance Agreement



Part 1. Consultation. I have reviewed this plan and have been consulted on its development.											
Executive's Name (Last, First, MI):							Appraisal Pd				
Executive's Signature:						Date:					
Title:							Organi	zation:			
Rating Official's Name (Last, First, MI):								CA 🗌 NC 🗌 LT/LE 🗌			
Rating Official's Signature: Date:											
Part 2. Progress Review											
Executive's Signature:								Date:			
Rating Official's Signature	<u>;</u>							Date:			
Reviewing Official's Signa	ture <i>(Opti</i>	onal):						Date:			
Part 3. Summary Rating							L				
Initial Summary Rating	Level 5 Level 4 Level 3						Level 2 Level 1 Ainimally Unsatisfactory atisfactory				
Rating Official's Name (Lo	ast, First, N	ЛI):									
Rating Official's Signature:								Date:			
Executive's Signature:							Date:				
Reviewing Official's Signature (Optional): Date:											
Higher Level Review (if applicable)											
I request a higher level review. Executive's Initials: Date:											
Higher Level Review Completed Date:											
Higher Level Reviewer Signature:											
Performance Review Boo	ard Recom	mendation		Level 5	<u> </u>	_evel 4	Le	evel 3	Leve	el 2	Level 1
PRB Chair Signature: Date:											
Annual Summary Rating				Le	evel 3	Leve	el 2	Level 1			
Appointing Authority Signature: Date:											
Part 4. Derivation Formula and Calculation of Annual Summary Rating											
	Elem	ent Rating		_		Score					
Critical Element	Initial	Final (if changed	d)	Weight	Initial	Fir (if cha		Summary Level Ranges		Ranges	
1. Leading Change	interal		<u></u>	Weight	million		iigea)				
2. Leading People								475-500 = Level 5			
3. Business Acumen								400-474 = Level 4			
4. Building Coalitions								300-399 = Level 3 200-299 = Level 2			
5. Results Driven Total			1	100%				Any CE rated Level 1 = Level 1			

Part 5. Critical Elements

Performance Standards for Critical Elements (The performance standard for each critical element is specified below; examples for the top three performance levels can be found in the system description)

- Level 5: The executive demonstrates exceptional performance, fostering a climate that sustains excellence and optimizes results in the executive's organization, agency, department or government-wide. This represents the highest level of executive performance, as evidenced by the extraordinary impact on the achievement of the organization's mission. The executive is an inspirational leader and is considered a role model by agency leadership, peers, and employees. The executive continually contributes materially to or spearheads agency efforts that address or accomplish important agency goals, consistently achieves expectations at the highest level of quality possible, and consistently handles challenges, exceeds targets, and completes assignments ahead of schedule at every step along the way.
- Level 4: The executive demonstrates a very high level of performance beyond that required for successful performance in the executive's position and scope of responsibilities. The executive is a proven, highly effective leader who builds trust and instills confidence in agency leadership, peers, and employees. The executive consistently exceeds established performance expectations, timelines, or targets, as applicable.
- Level 3: The executive demonstrates the high level of performance expected and the executive's actions and leadership contribute positively toward the achievement of strategic goals and meaningful results. The executive is an effective, solid, and dependable leader who delivers high-quality results based on measures of quality, quantity, efficiency, and/or effectiveness within agreed upon timelines. The executive meets and often exceeds challenging performance expectations established for the position.
- Level 2: The executive's contributions to the organization are acceptable in the short term but do not appreciably advance the organization towards achievement of its goals and objectives. While the executive generally meets established performance expectations, timelines and targets, there are occasional lapses that impair operations and/or cause concern from management. While showing basic ability to accomplish work through others, the executive may demonstrate limited ability to inspire subordinates to give their best efforts or to marshal those efforts effectively to address problems characteristic of the organization and its work.
- Level 1: In repeated instances, the executive demonstrates performance deficiencies that detract from
 mission goals and objectives. The executive generally is viewed as ineffectual by agency leadership, peers,
 or employees. The executive does not meet established performance expectations/timelines/targets and
 fails to produce or produces unacceptable work products, services, or outcomes.

Element Rating Level Points	
	Level 5 = 5 points
	Level 4 = 4 points
	Level 3 = 3 points
	Level 2 = 2 points
	Level 1 = 0 points

Executive Name and ID:	Appraisal Period: -						
Critical Element 1. Leading Change	(Minimum weight 5%) Weight						
Develops and implements an organizational vision that integrates key organizational and program goals, priorities, values, and other factors. Assesses and adjusts to changing situations, implementing innovative solutions to make organizational improvements, ranging from incremental improvements to major shifts in direction or approach, as appropriate. Balances change and continuity; continually strives to improve service and program performance; creates a work environment that encourages creative thinking, collaboration, and transparency; and maintains program focus, even under adversity. Agency-Specific Performance Requirements							
Dating Official Narratives (Ontional)							
Rating Official Narrative: (Optional)							
					1		
Critical Element Rating – Leading Change	Level 5	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1		
Critical Element 2. Leading People			(Minimum v		Weight		
Designs and implements strategies that maximize and fosters high ethical standards in meeting the that fosters the development of others to their fu collaboration, cooperation, and teamwork, and s performance plans are aligned with the organizat and that employees are realistically appraised ag employees accountable for appropriate levels of retains, and develops the talent needed to achiev needed to accomplish organizational performance and equal employment policies and programs.	organization's vis ull potential; allow upports construct ion's mission and ainst clearly defin performance and ve a high quality, o	ion, mission, a rs for full parti ive resolution goals, that en ed and commu conduct. Seel diverse workfo	and goals. Pro- cipation by all of conflicts. E nployees recei- unicated perfo ks and conside orce that reflec	vides an inclus employees; fa nsures emplo ve constructiv rmance stand rs employee i ts the nation,	sive workplace acilitates yee e feedback, ards. Holds nput. Recruits, with the skills		
Agency-Specific Performance Requirements							
Rating Official Narrative: (Optional)							

Executive Name and ID:	Appraisal Period: -						
Critical Element 3. Business Acumen		(Minimum wei	ght 5%)	Weight		
Assesses, analyzes, acquires, and administers human, financial, material, and information resources in a manner that instills public trust and accomplishes the organization's mission. Uses technology to enhance processes and decision making. Executes the operating budget; prepares budget requests with justifications; and manages resources.							
Agency-Specific Performance Requirements							
Rating Official Narrative: (Optional)							
Critical Element Rating – Business Acumen	Level 5	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1		
Critical Element 4. Building Coalitions			(Minimum v	veight 5%)	Weight		
Solicits and considers feedback from internal and parties to maximize input from the widest range diverse groups and strengthen internal and exter convincing manner and negotiates with individua professional network with other organizations ar organization.	of appropriate stand nal support. Expl Is and groups inte	akeholders to f ains, advocate ernally and ext	facilitate an op s, and express ernally, as app	en exchange o es facts and ic propriate. Dev	of opinion from leas in a relops a		
parties to maximize input from the widest range diverse groups and strengthen internal and exter convincing manner and negotiates with individua	of appropriate stand nal support. Expl Is and groups inte	akeholders to f ains, advocate ernally and ext	facilitate an op s, and express ernally, as app	en exchange o es facts and ic propriate. Dev	of opinion from leas in a relops a		
parties to maximize input from the widest range diverse groups and strengthen internal and exter convincing manner and negotiates with individua professional network with other organizations ar organization.	of appropriate stand nal support. Expl Is and groups inte	akeholders to f ains, advocate ernally and ext	facilitate an op s, and express ernally, as app	en exchange o es facts and ic propriate. Dev	of opinion from leas in a relops a		
parties to maximize input from the widest range diverse groups and strengthen internal and exter convincing manner and negotiates with individua professional network with other organizations ar organization.	of appropriate stand nal support. Expl Is and groups inte	akeholders to f ains, advocate ernally and ext	facilitate an op s, and express ernally, as app	en exchange o es facts and ic propriate. Dev	of opinion from leas in a relops a		
parties to maximize input from the widest range diverse groups and strengthen internal and exter convincing manner and negotiates with individua professional network with other organizations ar organization. Agency-Specific Performance Requirements	of appropriate stand nal support. Expl Is and groups inte	akeholders to f ains, advocate ernally and ext	facilitate an op s, and express ernally, as app	en exchange o es facts and ic propriate. Dev	of opinion from leas in a relops a		
parties to maximize input from the widest range diverse groups and strengthen internal and exter convincing manner and negotiates with individua professional network with other organizations ar organization.	of appropriate stand nal support. Expl Is and groups inte	akeholders to f ains, advocate ernally and ext	facilitate an op s, and express ernally, as app	en exchange o es facts and ic propriate. Dev	of opinion from leas in a relops a		

Executive Name and ID:			Appraisal	Period:	-			
Critical Element 5. Results Driven		(Mi	inimum We	ight 20%)	Weight			
Agency Goals/Objectives for current FY: Must have at least 1 result (may have more than 5)								
This critical element includes specific performance requirements expected of the executive during the appraisal period, focusing on measurable outcomes from the strategic plan or other measurable outputs and outcomes clearly aligned to organizational goals and objectives. At a minimum, the performance plan will include performance requirements (including measures, targets, timelines, or quality descriptors, as appropriate) describing the range of performance at Level 3 for each result specified. It is recommended to also establish the threshold measures/targets for Levels 5 and 2. Alignmentcite relevant goals/objectives, page numbers, from the Strategic Plan, Congressional Budget								
Justification/Annual Performance Plan, or other organizational planning document in the designated section for each performance requirement specified.								
Performance Requirement 1:		S	trategic Alig	nment:				
Performance Requirement 2:		S	trategic Alig	nment:				
Performance Requirement 3:		S	trategic Alig	nment:				
Performance Requirement 4:		S	trategic Alig	nment:				
Performance Requirement 5:		S	trategic Alig	nment:				
Rating Official Narrative: (Optional)								
Critical Element Rating – Results Driven	Level 5	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1			

Part 6: Summary Rating Narrative (Mandatory)

-

Part 7: Executive's Accomplishment Narrative (Optional)

Part 8: Agency Use